

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,933	07/26/2005	Masaru Kagawa	Q85336	6185	
23373 75	90 10/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CAM N	
	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER "	
SUITE 800			ARTONIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20037		1754		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/518,933	KAGAWA, MASARU				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cam N. Nguyen	1754				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	lv 2005.					
		action is non-final.	,				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠	10) \square The drawing(s) filed on <u>originally filed</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
4.0	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		.a. Na				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
	_ ' ' '		u in this National Stage				
* 9	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	Ne)	•					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🗓 🕽 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/518,933 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed on 12/22/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

No copy of the foreign patent document has been received in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Noguchi et al., "hereinafter referred to as Noguchi", (US Pat. 4,237,030).

Noguchi discloses a catalyst for purifying exhaust gas from an internal combustion engine comprising: a catalyst carrier consisting mainly of over 80% by weight of α -alumina and less than 20% by weight of sintering promoter; and a catalyst consisting essentially of platinum catalyst material (see col. 8- col. 9, claim 1).

With respect to the claimed limitation on "purity of said alumina is 99.95% or above", while Noguchi is silent with respect to the purity of the α -alumina, it is inherent and expected that the same " α -alumina" support material would possess the same purity.

If in fact the " α -alumina" support material disclosed by Noguchi does not possess the claimed % purity, then the following applies.

It would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have controlled the process conditions during the process of making such " α -alumina" support material in order to result in a high purity, such as the claimed % purity, in view of *In re Boesch*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartsch (US Pat. 4,119,567).

Bartsch discloses a supported catalyst composition containing an amount of palladium

supported on an alumina support having a crystalline alpha-alumina content of greater than about 96%, a theta-alumina content of less than about 3%, etc. (see col. 8, claim 1). Bartsch further discloses that other Group VIII metals, such as platinum, rhodium, ruthenium, iridium, or salts thereof may be employed by themselves or in combination with the palladium metal (see col. 5, ln 24-31).

There is no patentable distinction seen between the claimed catalyst and that disclosed by the reference. Thus, the claim is anticipated by the teaching of Bartsch.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al., "hereinafter referred to as Noguchi", (US Pat. 4,237,030) or Bartsch (US Pat. 4,119,567) *in view* of Itoh et al., "hereinafter referred to as Itoh", (US Pat. 5,997,830).

Noguchi and Bartsch disclose supported catalyst compositions as described above, but silent with respect to the platinum particle diameter.

However, it would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized such known platinum metal in Noguchi and Barstch to make their catalysts because "platinum" having an average particle diameter of 2 to 300 nm (or 20-3000 A) is known and has been used as a catalytically active metal to make catalysts, as

Art Unit: 1754

evidenced by Itoh (see Itoh at col. 20, claim 13). Note that the claimed particle diameter is met because it falls within the disclosed range.

Citations

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All references are cited for related art. See PTO-892 Form prepared attached.

Conclusion

7. Claims 1-2 are pending. Claims 1-2 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Contacts

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM, at alternative work site.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,933 Page 6

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cam N. Nguyen/

Nguyen/cnn Primary Examiner

<u>September 21, 2006</u> Art Unit: 1754